# Attachment 1 - VHCIP Steering Committee Meeting Agenda 8-06-14

#### VT Health Care Innovation Project Steering Committee Meeting Agenda

#### August 6, 2014 10:00 am- 12:00 pm

4<sup>th</sup> Floor Conference Room, Pavilion Building, 109 State Street, Montpelier

Call-In Number: 1-877-273-4202; Passcode: 8155970

Item #	Time Frame	Topic	Presenter	Relevant Attachments	Action Needed?
1	10:00-10:05	Welcome and Introductions	Mark Larson and Al Gobeille	Attachment 1: Agenda	
2	10:05-10:15	Public Comment	Mark Larson and Al Gobeille		
3	10:15-10:20	Minutes Approval	Mark Larson and Al Gobeille	Attachment 3: July Minutes	Approval of Minutes
4	10:20-10:30	Core Team Update	Anya Rader Wallack		N/A
		Public comment			
5	10:30-11:30	Policy:  1. Quality and Performance    Measures Work Group Year    Two Shared Savings ACO    Program Measures Update	QPM Chairs and Staff	Attachment 5a: SSP Measures Presentation for Steering Committee FINAL 8.6.14  Attachment 5b: Year 2 Proposed Measures Overview with Benchmarks	N/A
		Public comment			

6	11:30-11:45	Financial Requests:  1. CMCM Work Group: Learning Collaborative Proposal \$300,000  Public Comment	Georgia Maheras	Attachment 6: Financial Proposal PowerPoint	Decision regarding funding the proposal
7	11:45-12:00	Next Steps, Wrap-Up and Future Meeting Schedule	Mark Larson and Al Gobeille	Next Meeting: September 3 <sup>rd</sup> , 10am-12pm, Williston	

# Attachment 3 - VHCIP Steering Committee Minutes 7-09-14



#### VT Health Care Innovation Project Steering Committee Meeting Minutes

Date of meeting: July 9, 2014 at EXE - 4th Floor Conf Room, Pavilion Building, Montpelier 10 am - 12 pm

Agenda Item	Discussion	Next Steps
1. Welcome &	Al Gobeille called the meeting to order at 10:00 am. Al noted that there item #6 (Financial	
Introductions	Request from HIE Work Group) was being removed from the agenda.	
2. Public Comment	Al Gobielle asked for public comment and no comments were offered.	
3. Minutes Approval	Ed Paquin moved to approve the minutes. The motion was seconded by Bob Bick. The motion passed.	
4. Core Team Update	<ul> <li>Anya Rader Wallack gave a Core Team update:</li> <li>The June Core Team meeting was abbreviated due to the CMMI visit. The QPM Work Group gave an update on the process for the work group's recommendation of the payment measure criteria and proposed measures for Year 2.</li> <li>The CMMI visit was largely a great success; we hosted five people from CMMI. It was mainly an opportunity to show our progress to date as well as a chance to review the major deliverables. There were presentations from VITL and ACO representatives and VHCIP Staff.</li> <li>The July Core Team meeting will focus on re-budgeting for year 2 of the SIM grant and the Round 2 Grant Application recommendations from the work groups.</li> </ul>	

1. Medicaid and Commercial Shared Savings ACO Program Update (Attachment 5a&5b): Richard Slusky and Kara Suter presented the SSP and ACO FAQ and Chart. Noting that the payer contracts have been signed and that MVP does currently does not have the minimum attributable lives to participate in an ACO and only BCBS is participating.  The group discussed the presentation and the following points were made:  • Dale Hackett asked how short MVP was and if there was anything we could do to help? Richard expressed that they were not close but are continuing to strive to participate.  • Don George asked if there are physicians participating in gain sharing, if so how many? Peter asked if the current contact included a definition of savings/ method of calculation. Richard noted that we are continuing to work through the operational details with ACOs.  • Richard noted that VITL has been approved additional funding to do a gap analysis, build a gateway between VITL & ACO analytics contractor, and an event notification system. The State has signed an ACO analytics contract however because we are waiting for the vendor to sign the contract no announcements can be made at this time. There is a kick-off meeting scheduled for July 15 <sup>th</sup> .  • Kara noted that the beneficiary notification process is part of the process to allow sharing of claims data and beneficiaries can choose to opt out. This will start on a rolling basis beginning this month. The call-centers lines are being prepped. There is generally a 30 day wait to receive the beneficiary opt outs. Georgia expressed that there was very good collaboration between OneCare, CHAC, VLA and HCA all of whom provided input regarding the process.  • Trinka Kerr asked if there were beneficiaries on the ACO Boards and if we the ACOs were actively trying to solicit beneficiaries. Richard explained that the best reports is still	Agenda Item	Discussion	Next Steps
contracts have been signed and that MVP does currently does not have the minimum attributable lives to participate in an ACO and only BCBS is participating.  The group discussed the presentation and the following points were made:  Dale Hackett asked how short MVP was and if there was anything we could do to help? Richard expressed that they were not close but are continuing to strive to participate.  Don George asked if there are physicians participating in gain sharing, if so how many? Peter asked if the current contact included a definition of savings/ method of calculation. Richard noted that we are continuing to work through the operational details with ACOs.  Richard noted that VITL has been approved additional funding to do a gap analysis, build a gateway between VITL & ACO analytics contractor, and an event notification system. The State has signed an ACO analytics contract however because we are waiting for the vendor to sign the contract no announcements can be made at this time. There is a kick-off meeting scheduled for July 15 <sup>th</sup> .  Kara noted that the beneficiary notification process is part of the process to allow sharing of claims data and beneficiaries can choose to opt out. This will start on a rolling basis beginning this month. The call-centers lines are being prepped. There is generally a 30 day wait to receive the beneficiary opt outs. Georgia expressed that there was very good collaboration between OneCare, CHAC, VLA and HCA all of whom provided input regarding the process.  Trinka Kerr asked if there were beneficiaries on the ACO Boards and if we the ACOs were actively trying to solicit beneficiaries. Richard explained that the ACOs will be submitting	5. Policy	1. Medicaid and Commercial Shared Savings ACO Program Update (Attachment 5a&5b):	
Ilives to participate in an ACO and only BCBS is participating.  The group discussed the presentation and the following points were made:  Dale Hackett asked how short MVP was and if there was anything we could do to help? Richard expressed that they were not close but are continuing to strive to participate.  Don George asked if there are physicians participating in gain sharing, if so how many? Peter asked if the current contact included a definition of savings/ method of calculation. Richard noted that we are continuing to work through the operational details with ACOs.  Richard noted that VITL has been approved additional funding to do a gap analysis, build a gateway between VITL & ACO analytics contractor, and an event notification system. The State has signed an ACO analytics contract however because we are waiting for the vendor to sign the contract no announcements can be made at this time. There is a kick-off meeting scheduled for July 15 <sup>th</sup> .  Kara noted that the beneficiary notification process is part of the process to allow sharing of claims data and beneficiaries can choose to opt out. This will start on a rolling basis beginning this month. The call-centers lines are being prepped. There is generally a 30 day wait to receive the beneficiary opt outs. Georgia expressed that there was very good collaboration between OneCare, CHAC, VLA and HCA all of whom provided input regarding the process.  Trinka Kerr asked if there were beneficiaries on the ACO Boards and if we the ACOs were actively trying to solicit beneficiaries. Richard explained that the ACOs will be submitting		Richard Slusky and Kara Suter presented the SSP and ACO FAQ and Chart. Noting that the payer	
The group discussed the presentation and the following points were made:  • Dale Hackett asked how short MVP was and if there was anything we could do to help? Richard expressed that they were not close but are continuing to strive to participate.  • Don George asked if there are physicians participating in gain sharing, if so how many? Peter asked if the current contact included a definition of savings/ method of calculation. Richard noted that we are continuing to work through the operational details with ACOs.  • Richard noted that VITL has been approved additional funding to do a gap analysis, build a gateway between VITL & ACO analytics contractor, and an event notification system. The State has signed an ACO analytics contract however because we are waiting for the vendor to sign the contract no announcements can be made at this time. There is a kick-off meeting scheduled for July 15 <sup>th</sup> .  • Kara noted that the beneficiary notification process is part of the process to allow sharing of claims data and beneficiaries can choose to opt out. This will start on a rolling basis beginning this month. The call-centers lines are being prepped. There is generally a 30 day wait to receive the beneficiary opt outs. Georgia expressed that there was very good collaboration between OneCare, CHAC, VLA and HCA all of whom provided input regarding the process.  • Trinka Kerr asked if there were beneficiaries on the ACO Boards and if we the ACOs were actively trying to solicit beneficiaries. Richard explained that the ACOs will be submitting		contracts have been signed and that MVP does currently does not have the minimum attributable	
<ul> <li>Dale Hackett asked how short MVP was and if there was anything we could do to help? Richard expressed that they were not close but are continuing to strive to participate.</li> <li>Don George asked if there are physicians participating in gain sharing, if so how many? Peter asked if the current contact included a definition of savings/ method of calculation. Richard noted that we are continuing to work through the operational details with ACOs.</li> <li>Richard noted that VITL has been approved additional funding to do a gap analysis, build a gateway between VITL &amp; ACO analytics contractor, and an event notification system. The State has signed an ACO analytics contract however because we are waiting for the vendor to sign the contract no announcements can be made at this time. There is a kick-off meeting scheduled for July 15<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>Kara noted that the beneficiary notification process is part of the process to allow sharing of claims data and beneficiaries can choose to opt out. This will start on a rolling basis beginning this month. The call-centers lines are being prepped. There is generally a 30 day wait to receive the beneficiary opt outs. Georgia expressed that there was very good collaboration between OneCare, CHAC, VLA and HCA all of whom provided input regarding the process.</li> <li>Trinka Kerr asked if there were beneficiaries on the ACO Boards and if we the ACOs were actively trying to solicit beneficiaries. Richard explained that the ACOs will be submitting</li> </ul>		lives to participate in an ACO and only BCBS is participating.	
<ul> <li>Dale Hackett asked how short MVP was and if there was anything we could do to help? Richard expressed that they were not close but are continuing to strive to participate.</li> <li>Don George asked if there are physicians participating in gain sharing, if so how many? Peter asked if the current contact included a definition of savings/ method of calculation. Richard noted that we are continuing to work through the operational details with ACOs.</li> <li>Richard noted that VITL has been approved additional funding to do a gap analysis, build a gateway between VITL &amp; ACO analytics contractor, and an event notification system. The State has signed an ACO analytics contract however because we are waiting for the vendor to sign the contract no announcements can be made at this time. There is a kick-off meeting scheduled for July 15<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>Kara noted that the beneficiary notification process is part of the process to allow sharing of claims data and beneficiaries can choose to opt out. This will start on a rolling basis beginning this month. The call-centers lines are being prepped. There is generally a 30 day wait to receive the beneficiary opt outs. Georgia expressed that there was very good collaboration between OneCare, CHAC, VLA and HCA all of whom provided input regarding the process.</li> <li>Trinka Kerr asked if there were beneficiaries on the ACO Boards and if we the ACOs were actively trying to solicit beneficiaries. Richard explained that the ACOs will be submitting</li> </ul>			
being worked out. Todd Moore expressed that it has been difficult to get responses and that Church Hindes has been reaching out and that they were also exploring the option of using beneficiary representatives. Kara also noted that OneCare has been working very hard on this and has been in close communication with DVHA and she hesitates to think that broad solicitation would yield a good candidate. Nancy Eldridge expressed that the		<ul> <li>Dale Hackett asked how short MVP was and if there was anything we could do to help? Richard expressed that they were not close but are continuing to strive to participate.</li> <li>Don George asked if there are physicians participating in gain sharing, if so how many? Peter asked if the current contact included a definition of savings/ method of calculation. Richard noted that we are continuing to work through the operational details with ACOs.</li> <li>Richard noted that VITL has been approved additional funding to do a gap analysis, build a gateway between VITL &amp; ACO analytics contractor, and an event notification system. The State has signed an ACO analytics contract however because we are waiting for the vendor to sign the contract no announcements can be made at this time. There is a kick-off meeting scheduled for July 15<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>Kara noted that the beneficiary notification process is part of the process to allow sharing of claims data and beneficiaries can choose to opt out. This will start on a rolling basis beginning this month. The call-centers lines are being prepped. There is generally a 30 day wait to receive the beneficiary opt outs. Georgia expressed that there was very good collaboration between OneCare, CHAC, VLA and HCA all of whom provided input regarding the process.</li> <li>Trinka Kerr asked if there were beneficiaries on the ACO Boards and if we the ACOs were actively trying to solicit beneficiaries. Richard explained that the ACOs will be submitting reports on the progress of seating members, the timeline and form of these reports is still being worked out. Todd Moore expressed that it has been difficult to get responses and that Church Hindes has been reaching out and that they were also exploring the option of using beneficiary representatives. Kara also noted that OneCare has been working very hard on this and has been in close communication with DVHA and she hesitates to think</li> </ul>	

Agenda Item	Discussion	Next Steps
	expressed concern that these individuals would receive the support they need to	
	understand many of these complicated issues. Trinka and Todd noted that HCA and	
	OneCare are prepared to provide support and educate these individuals.	
	2. Quality & Performance Measures Work Group Year Two Shared Savings ACO Program	
	Measures Update (Attachments 5c, 5d, 5e and 5f):	
	Catherine Fulton presented the information on the process the Quality and Performance	
	Measures Work Group is using to make modifications (or not) to the Year Two SSP quality	
	measure set. Many work groups provided feedback on the additional proposed measures and the	
	QPM work group will be reviewing at their July meeting in hopes concluding at that time.	
	The group discussed the presentation and the following points were made:	
	Dale asked if absolute values were used in assessing measures. How are we	
	calculating/evaluating these measures? Pat responded that when we used absolute values	
	we looked at raw rates and did not compare to others only ourselves. The SSP is against a benchmark, derivatives.	
	Allan Ramsay noted that this is a four step process (WG, SC, CT and GMCB all need to	
	approve) and will the timing work out. We shouldn't assume that there won't be any	
	modifications in the process. Georgia expressed that the QPM work group is also soliciting	
	public comment which will be made available for GMCB to review prior and that there we	
	can go into October if necessary.	
	Ed Paquin suggested that perhaps we should consider comparing to counties or states that	
	are comparable vs. national, perhaps previous year's performance. Pat noted that one of	
	the criteria is opportunity for improvement. Paul Harrington and Todd noted that there are	
	some areas where VT is not better than the national average, i.e. immunizations, we need	
	some absolute standards. Dale expressed that immunizations is an example of the	
	complexities of these measures and signals bigger challenges.	
	<ul> <li>Don asked if patient experience surveys will be measure for payments. The more engaged</li> </ul>	

Agenda Item	Discussion	Next Steps		
	patients are the better the healthcare and will drive other numbers. Catherine explained			
	that it is currently a reporting requirement and no proposals have been made to move to			
	payment.			
6. Financial	No requests at this time.			
Requests				
7. Six-Month	Georgia Maheras presented an update of upcoming activities (attachment 7).			
Preview				
8. Next Steps,	The next meeting will be Wednesday, August 6 <sup>th</sup> 10 am – 12 pm, EXE - 4th Floor Conf Room,			
Wrap-Up and	Pavilion Building, Montpelier.			
Future Meeting				
Schedule				



# VHCIP Steering Committee 7-09-14 - Attendence Sheet-

	V
•	A

С	Chair
IC	Interim Chair
М	Member
MA	Member Alternate
Α	Assistant
S	Staff
¥	Interested Party

	First Name	Last Name		Title	Organization	Steering Committe
1	Ena	Backus			GMCВ	х
2	Melissa	Bailey	*		Otter Creek Associates and Matrix Healt	ХХ
3	Heidi	Banks			Vermont Information Technology Lead	х
4	John	Barbour		Executive Director	Champlain Valley Area Agency on Aging	М
5	Rick	Barnett	SUZANNE LADD/PROXY	President	Vermont Psychological Association	Х
6	Susan	Barrett	/	Executive Director	GMCB	х
7	Апла	Bassford			GMCB	Α
8	Susan	Besio V	0	Senior Associate	Pacific Health Policy Group	Х
9	Bob	Bick	mone	Director of Mental Health and Subst	HowardCenter for Mental Health	М
10	Martha	Buck			Vermont Association of Hospital and He	А
1	Наггу	Chen		Commissioner	AHS - VDH	- М
12	Amanda	Clector	mm	Health Policy Analyst	AHS - DVHA	х
13	Peter	Cobb	RetuColl	Executive Director	VNAs of Vermont	М
4	Lori	Collins		2	AHS - DVHA	Х
5	Amy	Coonradt		Health Policy Analyst	AHS - DVHA	х
.6	Alicia	Cooper	alicia Coopu	Quality Oversight Analyst	AHS - DVHA	х
17	Elizabeth	Cote	VVIII STEEPE		Area Health Education Centers Program	М
18	Diane	Cummings	alicia Coopu	Financial Manager II	AHS - Central Office	х
9	Susan	Devoid	0		OneCare Vermont	A
0	Tracy	Dolan		Deputy Commissioner	AHS - VDH	х
1	Richard	Donahey		Financial Director III	AHS - Central Office	Х
22	Susan	Donegan	<del>+</del>	Commissioner	AOA - DFR	М
	Paul	Dupre		Commissioner	AHS - DMH	М
4	Nancy	Eldridge	,	Executive Director	Cathedral Square and SASH Program	М
5	John	Evans		President and CEO	Vermont Information Technology Leade	М
_			2	Administrative Assistant	Vermont Program for Quality in Health	
	Cyndy	Fargo		Transition dure rissistant	OneCare Vermont	A
		Fitzpatrick	1	VT Administrative Asst		
	Katie	. /	19,192		Bi-State Primary Care	A
	Erin	Flynn		Health Policy Analyst	AHS - DVHA	X
	Aaron	French	1 Dulton	Deputy Commissioner	AHS - DVHA	X
31	Catherine	Fulton	( Trailer	Executive Director	Vermont Program for Quality in Health	М

	ž		11			
33	Chriśtine	Geiler	Adu	Grant Manager & Stakeholder Coor	gмcв	s
34	Don	George	Cherry Change	President and CEO	Blue Cross Blue Shield of Vermont	М
35	lim	Giffin	1	CFO	AHS - Central Office	X
36	Al	Gobeille		Chair	GMCB	С
37	Bea	Grause		President	Vermont Association of Hospital and He	М
38	Sarah	Gregorek	A 14		AHS - DVHA	A
39	Dale	Hackett	Nest	Consumer Advocate	None	М
40	Janie	Hall		Corporate Assistant	OneCare Vermont	A
41	Thomas	Hall			Consumer Representative	х
42	Paul	Harrington 1	PLIT	President	Vermont Medical Society	М
43	Carrie	Hathaway		Financial Director III	AHS - DVHA	х
44	Diane	Hawkins			AHS - DVHA	х
45	Karen	Hein		Board Member	GMCB	Х
46	Brendan			Consultant	Bailit-Health Purchasing	X
47	Debbie	Hogan		Consultant	Vermont Interfaith Action	м
48	Craig	Ingram Iones		Director	AHS - DVHA - Blueprint	M
49	Kate	Iones	. 0	Director	AHS - DVHA	S
50	Pat	Jones	for mes		GMCB	X
51	Trinka	Kerr	Tille	Chief Health Care Advocate	VLA/Health Care Advocate Project	M
52		Klein		Ciliei rieaitii Care Auvocate	AHS - VDH	X
53	Heidi		1)/1/8		UMASS	S
	Nelson	Lamothe		Director of Provider Contracting		
54	Kelly	Lange	V	Director of Provider Contracting	Blue Cross Blue Shield of Vermont	Х
55	Mark	Larson		Commissioner	AHS - DVHA	<u> </u>
56	Diane	Lewis	1-1:1D		AOA - DFR	A
57	Мопіса	Light	Mangus	Director of Health Care Operations,		М
58	Deborah	Lisi-Baker		Disability Policy Expert	Unknown	M
59	Sam	Liss		Chairperson	Statewide Independent Living Council	X
60	Bill	Little	2	Vice President	MVP Health Care	М
61	Robin	Lunge		Director of Health Care Reform	AOA	S
62	Georgia	Maheras V			AOA	S
63	Steven	Maier		HCR-HIT Integration Manager	AHS - DVHA	Х
64	Jackie	Majoros		State Ombudsman	VLA/LTC Ombudsman Project	М
65	David	Martini			AOA - DFR	MA
66	Marybeth	McCaffrey		Principal Health Reform Administra	AHS - DAIL	X
67	Alexa	McGrath			Blue Cross Blue Shield of Vermont	Α
68	Kimberly	McNeil		Payment Reform Policy Intern	AHS - DVHA	X
69	Darcy	McPherson		Program Technician	AHS - DVHA	X
70	Marisa	Melamed		.0	AOA	A
71	Madeleine	Mongan		Deputy Executive Vice President	Vermont Medical Society	X
72	Тоdd	Moore	fra BM	СЕО	OneCare Vermont	М
73	Brian	Otley	* **	coo	Green Mountain Power	X

_				T .		
74	Dawn	O'Toole	,	Director of Operations	AHS - DCF	Х
75	Mary Val	Palumbo		Associate Professor	University of Vermont	М
76	Ed	Paquin	( K.V.	Ed Paguin	Disability Rights Vermont	М
77	Annie	Paumgarten /		Eveluation Director	GMCB	Х
78	Laura	Pelosi	,	Executive Director	Vermont Health Care Association	М
79	Judy	Peterson		President and CEO	Visiting Nurse Association of Chittender	М
80	Luann	Poirer	,	Administrative Services Manager I	AHS - DVHA	х
81	Alian	Ramsay	Whareson	Board Member	GMCB	M
82	Stephen	Rauh			GMC Advisory Board	X
83	Lori	Real		Chief Operating Officer	Bi-State Primary Care/CHAC	М
84	Paul	Reiss		Executive Director,	Accountable Care Coalition of the Green	M
85	Simone	Rueschemeyer 🗸	S. Krunger	Director	Behavioral Health Network of Vermont	М
86	Jenney	Samuelson		Assistant Director of Blueprint for I	AHS - DVHA - Blueprint	х
87	Larry	Sandage V			AHS - DVHA	х
88	Howard	Schapiro		Interim President	University of Vermont Medical Group P	М
89	Julia	Shaw		Health Care Policy Analyst	VLA/Health Care Advocate Project	Х
90	Mary	Skovira		Executive Staff Assistant	AHS - VDH	Α
91	Richard	Slusky		Payment Reform Director	GMCB	* X
92	Кага	Suter		Reimbursement Director	AHS - DVHA	Х
93	Beth	Tanzman		Assistant Director of Blueprint for I	AHS - DVHA - Blueprint	Х
94	[ulie	Tessler		Executive Director	Vermont Council of Developmental and	M
95	Anya	Wallack V		Chair	SIM Core Team Chair	Х
96	Barbara	Walters	(I = W	Chief Medical Director	OneCare Vermont	M
97	Julie	Wasserman		VT Dual Eligible Project Director	AHS - Central Office	X
98	Spenser	Weppler			GMCВ	Х
99	Bradley	Wilhelm	Ba-	Senior Policy Advisor	AHS - DVHA	х
100	Sharon	Winn	Shawn Milli	Director, Vermont Public Policy	Bi-State Primary Care	М
101	Jennifer	Woodard		Long-Term Services and Supports I	AHS - DAIL	Х
02	Cecelia	wu C	le	Healthcare Project Director	AHS - DVHA	х
103	Dave	Yacovone		Commissioner	AHS - DCF	М
						103

Resanna Lak, VPA Exe Director (For Rick Bornett)

# Attachment 5a - SSP Measures Presentation for Steering Committee

# Vermont ACO Shared Savings Program Quality Measures: Recommendations for Year 2 Measures from the VHCIP Quality and Performance Measures Work Group

Presentation to VHCIP Steering Committee
August 6, 2014



# Measure Use Terminology: Core

#### **Payment**

• Performance on these measures will be considered when calculating shared savings.

#### Reporting

 ACOs will be required to report on these measures. Performance on these measures will be not be considered when calculating shared savings.

#### **Pending**

 Measures that are included in the core measure set but are not presently required to be reported. Pending measures are considered of importance to the ACO model, but are not required for initial reporting for one of the following reasons: target population not presently included, lack of availability of clinical or other required data, lack of sufficient baseline data, lack of clear or widely accepted specifications, or overly burdensome to collect. These may be considered for inclusion in future years.

# Measure Use Terminology: Monitoring & Evaluation

#### Monitoring

• These are measures that would provide benefit from tracking and reporting. They will have no bearing on shared savings; nonetheless, they are important to collect to inform programmatic evaluation and other activities. These measures will be reported at the plan or statelevel. Data for these measures will be obtained from sources other than the ACO (e.g., health plans, state).

#### **Utilization & Cost**

• These measures reflect utilization and cost metrics to be monitored on a regular basis for each ACO. Data for these measures may be obtained from sources other than the ACO.



# **Year 1 Payment Measures – Claims Data**

Commercial & Medicaid

- All-Cause Readmission
- Adolescent Well-Care Visits
- Follow-Up After Hospitalization for Mental Illness (7-day)
- Initiation and Engagement of Alcohol and Other Drug Dependence Treatment
- Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Adults with Acute Bronchitis
- Chlamydia Screening in Women
- Cholesterol Management for Patients with Cardiovascular Disease (LDL Screening)\*

Medicaid-Only

 Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life

\*Medicare Shared Savings Program measure



## **Year 1 Reporting Measures – Claims Data**

Commercial & Medicaid

- Ambulatory Care-Sensitive Conditions Admissions: COPD\*
- Breast Cancer Screening\*
- Rate of Hospitalization for Ambulatory Care-Sensitive Conditions: PQI Composite
- Appropriate Testing for Children with Pharyngitis

\*Medicare Shared Savings Program measure



# **Year 1 Reporting Measures – Clinical Data**

# Commercial & Medicaid

- Adult BMI Screening and Follow-Up\*
- Screening for Clinical Depression and Follow-Up Plan\*
- Colorectal Cancer Screening\*
- Diabetes Composite
  - HbA1c control\*
  - LDL control\*
  - High blood pressure control\*
  - Tobacco non-use\*
  - Daily aspirin or anti-platelet medication\*
- Diabetes HbA1c Poor Control\*
- Childhood Immunization Status
- Pediatric Weight Assessment and Counseling

<sup>\*</sup>Medicare Shared Savings Program measure



# **Year 1 Reporting Measures – Survey Data**

Commercial & Medicaid

- Access to Care
- Communication
- Shared Decision-Making
- Self-Management Support
- Comprehensiveness
- Office Staff
- Information
- Coordination of Care
- Specialist Care



# **Year 1 Monitoring & Evaluation Measures**

#### PLAN-LEVEL MONITORING

- Appropriate Medications for People with Asthma
- Comprehensive Diabetes Care: Eye Exams for Diabetics
- Comprehensive Diabetes Care: Medical Attention for Nephropathy
- Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD
- Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication
- Antidepressant Medication Management

#### STATE-LEVEL MONITORING

- Family Evaluation of Hospice Care Survey
- School Completion Rate
- Unemployment Rate

#### **UTILIZATION & COST**

- Total Cost of Care
- Resource Utilization Index
- Ambulatory surgery/1000
- Average # of prescriptions PMPM
- Avoidable ED visits- NYU algorithm
- Ambulatory Care (ED rate only)
- ED Utilization for Ambulatory Care-Sensitive Conditions
- Generic dispensing rate
- High-end imaging/1000
- Inpatient Utilization General Hospital/Acute Care
- Primary care visits/1000
- SNF Days/1000
- Specialty visits/1000

Annual Dental Visit



# **Year 1 Pending Measures**

- Ischemic Vascular Disease (IVD): Complete Lipid Panel and LDL Control (<100 mg/dL)\*</li>
- Ischemic Vascular Disease (IVD): Use of Aspirin or Another Antithrombotic\*
- Influenza Immunization\*
- Tobacco Use Assessment and Tobacco Cessation Intervention\*
- Coronary Artery Disease (CAD) Composite\*
- Hypertension (HTN): Controlling High Blood Pressure\*
- Screening for High Blood Pressure and Follow-up Plan\*
- Cervical Cancer Screening
- Proportion not admitted to hospice (cancer patients)
- Elective delivery before 39 weeks
- Prenatal and Postpartum Care
- Care Transition-Transition Record Transmittal to Health Care Professional
- How's Your Health?
- Patient Activation Measure

- Frequency of Ongoing Prenatal Care
- Percentage of Patients with Self-Management Plans
- Screening, Brief Intervention, and Referral to Treatment
- Trauma Screen Measure
- Falls: Screening for Future Fall Risk\*
- Pneumococcal Vaccination for Patients
   65 Years and Older\*
- Use of High Risk Medications in the Elderly
- Persistent Indicators of Dementia without a Diagnosis



## **QPM WG Year 2 Measure Review Process**

 Goals were to adhere to transparent process and obtain ongoing input from WG members and other interested parties

#### March-June

- Interested parties and other VHCIP Work Groups presented Year 2 measure changes for consideration
- WG reviewed and finalized criteria to be used in evaluating overall measure set and payment measures
- WG reviewed and discussed proposed measure changes

#### June-July

- Co-Chairs/Staff/Consultant scored each recommended measure against approved criteria on 0-1-2 point scale and developed proposals for Year 2 measure changes for the WG's consideration
- WG reviewed and discussed proposals

#### July

WG voted on measures during July 29<sup>th</sup> meeting



# QPM Criteria for Evaluating All Measures

- ✓ Valid and reliable
- Representative of array of services provided and beneficiaries served by ACOs
- ✓ Uninfluenced by differences in patient case mix or appropriately adjusted for such differences
- ✓ Not prone to effects of random variation (measure type and denominator size)
- Consistent with state's objectives and goals for improved health systems performance
- ✓ Not administratively burdensome
- Aligned with national and state measure sets and federal and state initiatives whenever possible
- ✓ Includes a mix of measure types
- ✓ Has a relevant benchmark whenever possible
- Focused on outcomes
- ✓ Focused on prevention, wellness and/or risk and protective factors.
- Limited in number and including measures necessary to achieve state's goals (e.g., opportunity for improvement)
- ✓ Population-based

# QPM Criteria for Evaluating <u>Payment</u> Measures

- ✓ Presents an opportunity for improvement
- ✓ Representative of the array of services provided and beneficiaries served
- ✓ Relevant benchmark available
- ✓ Focused on outcomes
- ✓ Focused on prevention and wellness
- ✓ Focused on risk and protective factors
- ✓ Selected from the Commercial or Medicaid Core Measure Set



8/6/2014

# **Summary of Year 2 Recommended Changes**

- QPM Work Group voted to:
  - Re-classify 9 existing measures
    - 3 to Payment
    - 5 to Reporting
    - 1 to M&E
  - Add 2 new measures
    - 1 to Reporting (Patient Experience Survey)
    - 1 to M&E



# **Recommended Year 2 Payment Measures**

## Claims Data

Commercial & Medicaid

- All-Cause Readmission
- Adolescent Well-Care Visits
- Follow-Up After Hospitalization for Mental Illness (7day)
- Initiation and Engagement of Alcohol and Other Drug Dependence Treatment
- Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Adults with Acute Bronchitis
- Chlamydia Screening in Women
- Cholesterol Management for Patients with Cardiovascular Disease (LDL Screening)\*
- Rate of Hospitalization for Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions: Composite (10-5 vote of QPM WG; move from Reporting)

Medicaid-Only

 Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life

\*Medicare Shared Savings Program measure

# **Recommended Year 2 Payment Measures**

### Clinical Data

# Commercial & Medicaid

- Diabetes Care: HbA1c Poor Control (>9.0%)\* (10-5 vote of QPM WG; move from Reporting)
- Pediatric Weight Assessment and Counseling (10-5 vote of QPM WG; move from Reporting)

# Recommended Year 2 Reporting Measures – Claims Data

Commercial & Medicaid

- Ambulatory Care-Sensitive Conditions Admissions: COPD\*
- Breast Cancer Screening\*
- Rate of Hospitalization for Ambulatory Care-Sensitive Conditions: Composite
- Appropriate Testing for Children with Pharyngitis
- Avoidable ED Visits (9-6 vote of QPM WG; move from M&E)

Commercial-Only • Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life (10-4 vote of QPM WG; already in Y1 Payment Measure Set for Medicaid SSP)

# Recommended Year 2 Reporting Measures – Clinical Data

# Commercial & Medicaid

- Adult BMI Screening and Follow-Up\*
- Screening for Clinical Depression and Follow-Up Plan\*
- Colorectal Cancer Screening\*
- Diabetes Composite
  - HbA1c control\*
  - LDL control\*
  - High blood pressure control\*
  - Tobacco non-use\*
  - Daily aspirin or anti-platelet medication\*
- Diabetes HbA1c Poor Control\*
- Childhood Immunization Status
- Pediatric Weight Assessment and Counseling
- Cervical Cancer Screening (Unanimous vote of QPM WG, move from Pending)
- Tobacco Use: Screening & Cessation Intervention\* (Unanimous vote of QPM WG, move from Pending)

# Recommended Year 2 Reporting Measures

# Patient Experience Survey Data

Commercial & Medicaid

- Access to Care
- Communication
- Shared Decision-Making
- Self-Management Support
- Comprehensiveness
- Office Staff
- Information
- Coordination of Care
- Specialist Care
- Provider Knowledge of DLTSS Services and Help from Case Manager/Service Coordinator (11-3 vote of QPM WG; NEW)



# Recommended Year 2 Monitoring & Evaluation Measures

#### PLAN-LEVEL MONITORING

- Appropriate Medications for People with Asthma
- Comprehensive Diabetes Care: Eye Exams for Diabetics
- Comprehensive Diabetes Care: Medical Attention for Nephropathy
- Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD
- Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication
- Antidepressant Medication Management
- Breast Cancer Screening (Unanimous vote of QPM WG; moved from Reporting)

#### STATE-LEVEL MONITORING

- Family Evaluation of Hospice Care Survey
- School Completion Rate
- Unemployment Rate
- LTSS Rebalancing (Medicaid-only; state and county level; unanimous vote of QPM WG; NEW)
- SBIRT (for pilot sites; unanimous vote of QPM WG; move from Pending)

#### **UTILIZATION & COST**

- Total Cost of Care
- Resource Utilization Index
- Ambulatory surgery/1000
- Average # of prescriptions PMPM
- Avoidable ED visits- NYU algorithm
- Ambulatory Care (ED rate only)
- ED Utilization for Ambulatory Care-Sensitive Conditions
- Generic dispensing rate
- High-end imaging/1000
- Inpatient Utilization General Hospital/Acute Care
- Primary care visits/1000
- SNF Days/1000
- Specialty visits/1000
- Annual Dental Visit



# **Recommended Year 2 Pending Measures**

- Ischemic Vascular Disease (IVD): Complete Lipid Panel and LDL Control (<100 mg/dL)\*</li>
- Ischemic Vascular Disease (IVD): Use of Aspirin or Another Antithrombotic\*
- Influenza Immunization\*
- Tobacco Use Assessment and Tobacco Cessation Intervention\*
- Coronary Artery Disease (CAD) Composite\*
- Hypertension (HTN): Controlling High Blood Pressure\*
- Screening for High Blood Pressure and Follow-up Plan\*
- Cervical Cancer Screening
- Care Transition-Transition Record
   Transmittal to Health Care Professional
- Percentage of Patients with Self-Management Plans

- How's Your Health?
- Patient Activation Measure
- Elective delivery before 39 weeks
- Prenatal and Postpartum Care
- Frequency of Ongoing Prenatal Care
- Screening, Brief Intervention, and Referral to Treatment
- Trauma Screen Measure
- Falls: Screening for Future Fall Risk\*
- Pneumococcal Vaccination for Patients 65 Years and Older\*
- Use of High Risk Medications in the Elderly
- Persistent Indicators of Dementia without a Diagnosis
- Proportion not admitted to hospice (cancer patients)

# **Other Proposed Measures**

- QPM Co-Chairs/Staff/Consultant recommended considering these measures for promotion
- QPM work group members voted to retain Year 1 status

Year 1 Measure Category	Year 2 Suggested Measure Category	Measure	QPM Vote
Pending	Reporting	Prenatal and Postpartum Care (Clinical Data)	<ul><li>5 in favor of promotion</li><li>9 opposed to promotion</li></ul>
Pending	Reporting	Influenza Immunization (Clinical Data)	<ul><li>7 in favor of promotion</li><li>7 opposed to promotion</li></ul>



8/6/2014

## **Other Proposed Measures**

- QPM Co-Chairs/Staff/Consultant <u>DID NOT</u> recommend considering this measure for promotion
- Work group members requested additional consideration for use as Reporting in Year 2
- QPM work group members voted to retain Year 1 status

Year 1 Measure Category	Year 2 Suggested Measure Category	Measure	QPM Vote
Pending	Pending	Screening for High Blood Pressure and Follow-Up Plan Documented	<b>2</b> in favor of promotion to Reporting
		(Clinical Data)	<b>11</b> opposed to promotion



8/6/2014 22

## **Other Proposed Measures**

- QPM Co-Chairs/Staff/Consultant <u>DID NOT</u> recommend considering these measures for promotion
- QPM work group members chose not to vote on these measures

Year 1 Measure Category	Year 2 Suggested Measure Category	Measure
Reporting	Reporting	Optimal Diabetes Care (D5 – Composite)
Reporting	Reporting	Rate of Hospitalization for ACSCs (COPD/Asthma in Older Adults)
Reporting	Reporting	Screening for Clinical Depression & Follow-Up
Reporting	Reporting Adult BMI Assessment	
Pending	Pending	Controlling High Blood Pressure
Pending	Pending	Care Transition Record Transmitted to Health Care Professional
Pending	Pending	Transition Record with Specified Elements Received by Discharged Patients
Pending	Pending	Percentage of Patients with Self-Management Plans



8/6/2014 23

# Attachment 5b - Year 2 Proposed Measures Overview with Benchmarks

#### VT Quality and Performance Measures Work Group Review of Changes in Measures Proposed for Year 2 Reporting and Payment June 20, 2014

Additional Measures Proposed for 2015 Reporting:

#	Measure Name	Use by Other Programs	Do Specs Exist?	Guideline Changes	Source of Data	Benchmarks (Indicates Improvement Opportunity)	Proposed By
Core-8	Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life (currently in Medicaid measure set; proposed for commercial measure set)	NQF #1448; NCQA (not HEDIS); and CHIPRA	Yes		Medicaid can use claims data, but provider coding for commercial payers is not currently reliable, so the commercial measure could require data from clinical records.	CMS has analyzed data from five states (AL, IL, NC, OR, TN) that reported the measure for FFY12 consistently using prescribed specifications. CMS reports that 12 states reported in FFY13, and 18 intend to do so in FFY14. Best practice is in IL, which reported rates of 77%, 81%, 65% in Years 1-3; the five-state median was 33%, 40%, 28%.	<ul> <li>Vermont         Legal Aid</li> <li>Population         Health WG</li> <li>DLTSS         Work         Group</li> </ul>
Core-30	Cervical Cancer Screening	NQF #0032; NCQA (HEDIS)	Yes	Changes in HEDIS specifications for 2014:  • Added steps to allow for two appropriate screening methods of cervical cancer screening: cervical cytology performed every three years in women 21- 64 years of age and cervical cytology/HPV co-testing performed every five years in women 30-64 years of age.	For HEDIS purposes in 2014, both commercial and Medicaid plans could use the hybrid method which requires data from clinical records.	HEDIS benchmark available (for HEDIS 2015; no benchmark for 2014).  Historical Performance HEDIS 2013 (PPO)  BCBSVT: 72%; CIGNA: 71%; MVP: 71%  National 90th percentile: 78%; Regional 90th percentile: 82%  National Average: 74%; Regional Average: 78%	Population Health WG
Core-34	Prenatal and Postpartum Care	NQF #1517; NCQA (HEDIS)			HEDIS rates are collected using the hybrid method, using claims data and clinical records.	Timeliness of Prenatal Care Historical Performance HEDIS 2013 (PPO):  • BCBSVT: 94%; CIGNA: 74%; MVP: 95%  • National 90th percentile: 96%; Regional 90th percentile: 96%  • National Average: 81%; Regional	Population Health WG

#	Measure Name	Use by Other Programs	Do Specs Exist?	Guideline Changes	Source of Data	Benchmarks (Indicates Improvement Opportunity)	Propo	osed By
						Average: 82% Postpartum Care Historical Performance (PPO):  BCBSVT: 83%; CIGNA: N/A; MVP: 84%  National 90th percentile: 86%; Regional 90th percentile: 90%  National Average: 70%; Regional Average: 70%		
Core-35/ MSSP-14	Influenza Immunization	NQF #0041; MSSP	Yes		Requires clinical data or patient survey to capture immunizations that were given outside of the PCP's office (e.g., in pharmacies, at public health events)	Medicare MSSP benchmarks available from CMS.	Н	opulation lealth WG TLSS WG
Core-36/ MSSP-17	Tobacco Use Assessment and Tobacco Cessation Intervention	NQF #0028; MSSP	Yes		Clinical records	CMS set benchmarks for MSSP shared savings distribution. For this measure, the benchmarks equate to the rates for 2014 and 2015 reporting years. For example, the 50th percentile is 50%, and the 90th percentile is 90%. This measure is in use in other states and HRSA and CDC publish benchmarks, so additional benchmarking feasible if there is interest in adoption.	Н	opulation lealth WG PLTSS WG
Core 37	Transition Record Transmittal to Health Care Professional	NQF #0648/#203 6 (paired measure – see below)	Yes		Clinical records	None identified	• D'	TLSS WG
Core-39/ MSSP-28	Hypertension (HTN): Controlling High Blood Pressure	NQF #0018; MSSP	Yes	Guideline change: In December 2013, the eighth Joint National Committee (JNC 8) released updated guidance for treatment of	Clinical records	HEDIS benchmark currently available, but with measure likely to change, there is a possibility that there won't be a benchmark for 2015.	Н	opulation lealth WG LTSS WG

#	Measure Name	Use by Other	Do Specs Exist?	Guideline Changes	Source of Data	Benchmarks (Indicates Improvement Opportunity)	Proposed By
		Programs		hypertension:  • Set the BP treatment goal for patients 60 and older to <150/90 mm Hg.  • Keep the BP treatment goal for patients 18–59 at <140/90 mm Hg.  Changes in HEDIS Specifications for 2015: Proposed changes to HEDIS specifications in 2015 to align with the JNC 8 guidelines. The measure will be based on one sample for a total rate reflecting age-related BP thresholds. The total rate will be used for reporting and comparison across organizations.		Historical Performance HEDIS 2013 (PPO)  BCBSVT: 61%; CIGNA PPO: 62%; MVP PPO: 67%  National 90th percentile: 65%; Regional 90th percentile: 78%  National Average: 57%; Regional Average: 63%	
Core-40/ MSSP-21	Screening for High Blood Pressure and Follow-up Plan Documented	Not NQF- endorsed; MSSP			Clinical records	CMS set benchmarks for MSSP shared savings distribution. For this measure, the benchmarks equate to the rates for 2014 and 2015 reporting years. For example, the 50th percentile is 50%, and the 90th percentile is 90%. However, this measure is in use by other states so it may be possible to identify benchmarks.	<ul><li>Population Health WG</li><li>DLTSS WG</li></ul>
Core-44	Percentage of Patients with Self- Management Plans	Not NQF- endorsed	No. Need to develop measure specs based on the NCQA standard, or borrow from a state that uses this measure.		Clinical records	This measure is used by some PCMH programs in other states. Benchmarks could be obtained from those states.	<ul> <li>Population Health WG</li> <li>DLTSS WG (see Core-44 ALT)</li> </ul>

#	Measure Name	Use by Other Programs	Do Specs Exist?	Guideline Changes	Source of Data	Benchmarks (Indicates Improvement Opportunity)	Proposed By	
Core-44 (ALT*)	Transition Record with Specified Elements Received by Discharged Patients	NQF #0647/#203 6 (paired measure - see above)	Yes		Clinical records	None identified	DTLSS W	
Core-45	Screening, Brief Intervention, and Referral to Treatment	Not NQF- endorsed	No, but a form of the measure is in use by Oregon Medicaid		Could potentially use claims or data from clinical records. If claims-based, could involve provider adoption of new codes.	None available, but a form of the measure is in by Oregon Medicaid, so benchmark rates could be available if the same measure was adopted.	<ul><li>Population</li><li>Health W</li><li>DLTSS W</li><li>Howard</li><li>Center</li></ul>	
New Measure	LTSS Rebalancing (proposed for Medicaid measure set)	Not NQF- endorsed	DAIL has proposed specifications		DAIL collects statewide and county data from claims; potential to collect at ACO level.	None available	DLTSS W	
New Measures	3 to 5 custom questions for Patient Experience Survey regarding DLTSS services and case management	Not NQF- endorsed	Questions have been developed; may require NCQA approval to add to PCMH CAHPS Survey		Could add to PCMH CAHPS Patient Experience Survey; might increase expense of survey.	None available	DLTSS W	

Additional Measures Proposed for 2015 <u>Payment:</u>

#	Measure Name	Use by Other	Do Specs	Guideline		Benchmarks (Indicates Improvement	Proposed By
		Programs	Exist?	Changes	Data	Opportunity)	
Core-10 MSSP-9	Ambulatory Care-Sensitive Condition Admissions: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults	NQF# 0275; AHRQ PQI #05; Year 1 Vermont SSP Reporting Measure	Yes		Claims	National PQI Benchmarks (for Medicare population) available at <a href="https://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Modules/pqi_resources.aspx">www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Modules/pqi_resources.aspx</a>	• CMS • DVHA
Core-12	Rate of Hospitalization for Ambulatory Care- Sensitive Conditions: PQI Composite	Not NQF-endorsed; AHRQ PQI #92; Year 1 Vermont SSP Reporting Measure	Yes		Claims	National PQI Benchmarks (for Medicare population) available at <a href="https://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Modules/pqi-resources.aspx">www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Modules/pqi-resources.aspx</a>	<ul><li>CMS</li><li>DVHA</li><li>DLTSS WG</li></ul>
Core-15	Pediatric Weight Assessment and Counseling	NQF #0024; Year 1 Vermont SSP Reporting Measure	Yes		Clinical records	HEDIS benchmarks available from NCQA. This measure has three components:	• DLTSS WG

		Programs	Do Specs Exist?	Guideline Changes	Source of Data	Benchmarks (Indicates Improvement Opportunity)	110	posed By
		110gramis	LAISU	Changes	Data	Counseling for Physical Activity		
						Historical Performance HEDIS 2012 (PPO)  • CIGNA PPO:72%		
						• National 90 <sup>th</sup> percentile: 65%; <b>Regional</b>		
						90th percentile: 86%		
						National Avg.: 26%; Regional Avg.: 42%		
	Diabetes Composite (D5): Hemoglobin A1c control	NQF #0729; MSSP;	Yes.	Change to	Clinical	Available from Minnesota Community	•	DLTSS WG
\ \	<8%), LDL control (<100), Blood Pressure <140/90,	Year 1 Vermont SSP	Measure	national	records	Measurement for Minnesota provider		
26 T	Tobacco non-use, Aspirin use	Reporting Measure	steward	LDL		performance		
			(MCM) changed	control guideline				
			specs for	impacted				
			2014 and	this				
			2015.	measure.				
Core-17 D	Diabetes Mellitus: Hemoglobin A1c Poor Control	NQF #0059; MSSP;	Yes		Clinical	HEDIS benchmarks available from NCQA.	•	DLTSS WG
MSSP-27 (>	>9%)	Year 1 Vermont SSP			records	Historical Performance HEDIS 2012 (PPO):		
		Reporting Measure				(Lower rate is better)		
						BCBSVT: 41%		
						• National 90th percentile: 22%; <b>Regional</b>		
						90th percentile: 18%		
						National Average: 28%; Regional Average: 34%		
	Depression Screening and Follow-up	NQF #0418; MSSP;	Yes		Clinical	Measure in use in some other states; we	•	DLTSS WG
MSSP-18		Year 1 Vermont SSP			records	would have to review how implemented		
		Reporting Measure				to see if benchmarks are available		
	Adult Weight Screening and Follow-up	NQF #0421; MSSP;	Yes		Clinical	In use by HRSA so benchmark data may	•	DLTSS WG
MSSP-16		Year 1 Vermont SSP			records	be available		
MOEIA	Associately ED Visita (NIVII Algorithms)	Reporting Measure	Yes		Claima	Macausa wood in other states and in	+	DI TCC MC
M&E-14 A	Avoidable ED Visits (NYU Algorithm)	Not NQF-endorsed; Year 1 Vermont SSP	res		Claims	Measure used in other states and in	•	DLTSS WG
		Monitoring and				research, so it may be possible to identify benchmarks		
		Evaluation Measure				benefitialis		

# Attachment 6 - Financial Proposal PowerPoint

# **Financial Proposal**

August 6th, 2014
Georgia Maheras, JD
Project Director



### **AGENDA**

Integrated Community Care
 Management Learning Collaborative



# CMCM Work Group Proposal for Integrated Community Care Management Learning Collaborative

- Request from Care Models and Care Management Work Group: Funding to support a year-long learning collaborative that will improve integration of care management activities for at-risk people and provide learning opportunities for best practices for care management in at least 3 pilot communities (Burlington, Rutland and St. Johnsbury).
- Project Summary: Learning collaborative aims to:
  - Identify existing care management services and resources and gaps in services in the pilot communities
  - Implement and test best practices for integrating care management, such as shared care planning, and care management protocols for referrals and transitions in care
  - Develop care management tools and training resources to support implementation and testing
  - Develop and collect measures of success and accountability
  - Provide shared learning opportunities for participating organizations

Vermont Health Care Innovation Project

### **Proposed Budget**

- Project estimated cost: Not-to-exceed amount of \$300,000 would support:
  - Two full-time contracted facilitators at a cost of \$95,000/year each (includes travel and training).
  - Expenses estimated at \$60,000 for multiple Learning
     Sessions during the year, including expert faculty and travel expenses, rental of meeting space, and materials.
- Project Timeline: Proposed for October 1, 2014 October 31, 2015
- <u>Budget Line-Item</u>: Type 2 Learning Collaboratives



7/31/2014

### Intent of Request/Relationship to VHCIP Goals

- By grounding its work in the Plan-Study-Do-Act model for quality improvement, the Integrated Community Care Management Learning Collaborative will demonstrate that integrated care management services based on best practices can:
  - Improve quality of care, person and family experience, health outcomes, and wellness, and
  - Reduce unnecessary utilization and cost.
- These goals align with the CMCM work group's charge to develop an integrated delivery system that leads to coordination, collaboration, and improved care for Vermonters; and also with the overarching goals of the VHCIP to improve care, improve population health, and reduce health care costs.



## **Scope of Work**

Using skilled facilitators, this Learning Collaborative will support organizations that provide care management services in creating Integrated Communities; implementing best practices, tools, and training resources; and measuring results.

Facilitators will have expertise in quality improvement methods, transformation, team facilitation, group dynamics and project management.

- Facilitator A will coordinate collaborative design, learning session design and logistics, team member outreach, communications, and learning collaborative implementation in the pilot communities.
- Facilitator B will work closely with team members in the pilot communities on data resource identification, data analysis, panel management and measurement activities.

7/31/2014 6

### **Deliverables**

- Multi-organization teams in pilot communities will identify existing and needed care management resources; implement selected best practices in care management integration; adopt tools and training resources to support those best practices; measure results; and engage in learning opportunities.
- Facilitators will promote an environment of collaborative learning within and between the pilot communities and across the health system, through mechanisms that include multiple learning sessions with expert faculty.
- Facilitators will meet with teams in pilot communities on a regular basis to provide the following services:
  - Change Management Support
  - Technical Assistance and Training
  - Data Analysis, Measurement and IT Support
  - Creation of a Learning Health System
  - Development of Connections Within and Between Pilot Communities

7/31/2014 7 vermon