

Vermont Health Care Innovation Project HIE/HIT Work Group Meeting Minutes

Pending Work Group Approval

Date of meeting: Friday, November 18, 2015, 9:00am-11:00am, Calvin Coolidge Conference Room, National Life Building, Montpelier.

Agenda Item	Discussion	Next Steps
1. Welcome and	Brian Otley called the meeting to order at 9:05am. A roll call attendance was taken and a quorum was present.	
Introductions		
2. Review and	Brian Otley entertained a motion to approve the October 21st meeting minutes. Leah Fullem moved to approve the	
Acceptance of	minutes by exception. Heather Skeels seconded. The minutes were approved, with Trinka Kerr and Mary Alice	
October 21st	Bisbee abstaining.	
Meeting Minutes		
3. VITL – ACO Gap	Brian Otley introduced the Gap Remediation items. VITL responded to questions from Work Group leadership and	
Remediation	members after the October 21 st meeting. Georgia Maheras invited additional questions, follow-up, or discussion.	
Presentation		
	The group discussed the following:	
	• Richard Slusky requested clarification on what has been achieved to date on Round 1 of Gap Remediation. He noted that VITL is already on track to meet some deliverables (or has achieved/surpassed them) but is not on track for others, and that VITL's goals have changed for some areas. Kristina Choquette spoke to VITL's process for goal setting, noting that balancing organizational size and readiness are key factors for focusing efforts. As VITL works to meet these goals, they're working with a large universe of possible connections and working to prioritize strategically to meet goals. Brian commented that the original goals were not absolute numbers and may have been optimistic – they depended on significant provider readiness that may not have borne out in the provider community. Kristina characterized the likelihood of connecting to UVMMC and CVMC as medium to high, with discussions in process to make these connections happen. John Evans agreed, and noted that the technical connection is possible, but it's a matter of prioritizing connection at the provider organization and the EHR vendor level. Kristina also noted that VITL will be utilizing ONC's vendor complaint process if necessary, and added that this complaint process has been a great tool to get vendors to the table.	

Agenda Item	Discussion	Next Steps
	 Brian Isham asked for more information on vendor blocking, and noted that exorbitant provider-side costs are a significant issue. Kristina clarified that high pricing can qualify on data blocking. John commented that VITL is funded to do this work, decreasing cost to providers. In addition, VITL has a small amount of money every year that goes to small practices to reimburse for connection costs. Dale Hackett asked whether in Year 3, we'll have useful data, or whether this may not come until 2017 or 2018. John responded that this project's timeline focuses on getting to 80% in 2016, though the work won't be totally done at that point. 	
	Paul Harrington moved to provide support for Phase 2 of the Gap Remediation Extension Project as outlined in Page 2 of Attachment 3a of today's materials, with a vote by exception. Leah Fullem seconded. The motion carried with Ken Gingras, Mike Gagnon, and Leah Fullem abstaining.	
4. VITL – VCN Gap Remediation Presentation	Brian invited comments or questions about the VCN Gap Remediation proposal (also discussed in Attachments 3a and 3b). There were no additional comments or questions.	
	Dale Hackett moved to approve this proposal by exception. Leah Fullem seconded. The motion carried with Ken Gingras abstaining.	
5. SCÜP Update	 Larry Sandage provided an update on the SCÜP Project (Attachment 5). Technical proposal nearly finalized, currently undergoing review and will be released soon. At their October meeting, the Core Team approved a budget of \$1.15 million for the SCÜP and Event Notification System projects. This will likely result in ~\$400,000 available for SCÜP, but ENS is still being negotiated so this amount is not final. Mike Gagnon asked if we've thought about how the Universal Transfer Protocol form will come back into the patient's record. Larry responded that this will be further discussed in the recommendation, but that the project did not fully explore whether or how this information would be integrated back into the patient record. Brian Isham asked how this is different from current work with Medicity and VITL. Georgia and Larry will have an offline conversation with Brian and AHS. Richard Slusky asked that Georgia's response to AHS be brought back to the group in the interest of transparency. Dale Hackett noted that this is an important first exploratory step, but that it's hard to be prescriptive about how providers communicate with one another. Erin Flynn responded that this isn't intended to be prescriptive, it's trying to create a tool to support this communication in a way that providers want. Dale agreed that this project is unique and fills a gap. Leah Fullem clarified that OneCare doesn't yet have a scope for the ACO Care Management Solution and hasn't executed a contract with a vendor – it's impossible to say that the scope will accommodate the SCP requirements at this point. Larry noted that all of the possible solutions are still in pre-implementation stages – OneCare has been a helpful and open partner in these conversations, and the SCÜP team will continue to talk with other solution providers. 	

Agenda Item	Discussion	Next Steps
	 Mary Alice Bisbee asked for a quick description of MMIS Care and PatientPing. Larry responded that MMIS Care is the Medicaid care management system being developed by the Department of Vermont Health Access. PatientPing is an Event Notification System vendor already working with VITL and the state. Julie Wasserman asked how the ACO Care Management system would work for people not attributed to an ACO; Larry responded yes. Julie noted that the ACO total cost of care is focused on hospital and physician services, and asked whether the Care Management solution would have a broader view; Larry responded that it would. Leah added that the intent is to provide a care management solution to all organizations involved in a patient's care, including community agencies and other affiliated participants. Dale Hackett asked whether DVHA has planned changes in reimbursement rates that will affect this. Georgia responded that this is not applicable to this conversation – Georgia is not sure whether FY 2016 budget issues will impact MMIS Care. Stefani Hartsfield commented that this needs to be closely aligned with the Integrated Communities Care Management Learning Collaborative or communities will create their own tools. Erin noted that St. Johnsbury and Rutland were two of the communities from which the SCÜP team gathered information. Mike Gagnon expressed concern about the size of this project, which was supposed to start as a pilot. He commented that this group needs to see the architecture of a solution before we can move ahead. Mike moved to table this for now, pending additional information on architecture and pilot scope. Georgia responded that the use of the word recommendations was perhaps the wrong term and that was intended to meet a deadline of having recommendations at this meeting. The information is more of an update about the project. The information provided shows that more discovery is needed and that they are consistent with Mike	
	 Brian commented that he does not think we need a vote on this today. We need additional information on architecture and cost on this project before a vote, and in the meantime, we can continue a limited staff investment in further information gathering. Richard noted that there are some pieces of the total amount (\$1.15 million) that are allocated – ENS, specifically – and asked whether slowing SCÜP would slow ENS. Georgia replied that ~\$400,000 of the total is held for SCÜP, but we are not waiting for SCÜP to move forward on ENS. Brian suggested we split ENS, UTP, and SCP for the future. Larry agreed, and noted that we'll be proposing solutions for these as three separate projects, and will be reporting on this as three separate projects in the future. Mike revoked his motion. 	

Agenda Item	Discussion	Next Steps
6. DLTSS	Susan Aranoff presented on high-level findings and proposed next steps from the DLTSS Technology Assessment	Send feedback on
Technology	Report. (Attachment 6 – the full report is available <u>here</u> on the VHCIP website.)	DLTSS Technology
Assessment and	Sue asked that participants review the report and invited readers to contact her if they discover information	Assessment
Next Steps	in the report that is now out of date.	Report to Susan
	This is a proposal to allocate money, similar to the telehealth proposal last year, to support increased	Aranoff
	connection for Home Health Agencies and Area Agencies on Aging with specific projects to be defined later.	(susan.aranoff@v
	Sue noted that Home Health data exchange and measurement capabilities will be increasingly important for	ermont.gov).
	future payment and care delivery models.	
	The group discussed the following:	
	Trinka Kerr commented that she supports this proposal due to the need for better electronic access among	
	non-acute providers.	
	 Sue added that it is late in the SIM timeline to put out additional RFPs – we could pursue this work by 	
	expanding the scope of another SIM contract with VITL. That discussion will happen if funds are approved.	
	Paul Harrington commented that billing capacity is less important than integration and communication	
	capacity, and that capital investment is important but implementation and uptake are necessary to ensure	
	adoption. Sue noted that readiness varies across agencies, but that VITL provides some support for uptake.	
	Sue suggested that new laws and rules will require providers to gain comfort with this.	
	 Mary Alice Bisbee commented that a recent experience with home health showed a lack of coordination and need for increased communication. 	
	 Amy Cooper commented that Healthfirst has reached out specifically to Skilled Nursing Facilities to improve 	
	communication, but this is a challenge without capabilities on the home health side. Leah Fullem seconded	
	this comment.	
	Julie Wasserman noted that no SIM money has been put toward HHAs yet.	
	 John Evans noted that some HHAs are already pushing information to the VHIE – primarily admissions, 	
	discharges, and transfers, though one is sharing CCDs – and that this has been funded through VITL's core	
	agreement with DVHA. John noted that VITLAccess onboarding is not particularly expensive and would	
	allow organizations to access VHIE data, but that interface development is significantly more expensive. Sue	
	responded that it would be good to flesh out the proposal if this group chooses to move a proposal along to	
	the Steering Committee and Core Team.	
	Dale Hackett expressed support for this proposal. He also noted that HHAs are being continually asked to do more with forwar dellars, and commented that he wants to see quality and other results for LHAs.	
	more with fewer dollars, and commented that he wants to see quality and other results for HHAs.	
	 Stefani Hartsfield expressed support for the goals of this proposal. She suggested that the UTP and SCP projects could also provide some of the necessary care coordination support, and asked the group to keep 	
	that in mind as we plan next steps.	
	that in think as we plan here steps.	
	Brian Otley entertained a motion, noting that there is clearly strong support for investment in this area, but that this	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u>. </u>

Agenda Item	Discussion	Next Steps
	proposal does not include a clear ask. He suggested a motion recommending an investment, and proposing to set aside funds to be made available to support more specific proposals in the coming months with the requirement that proposed activities can be accomplished within the grant period.	
	Dale Hackett moved to recommend that HHAs and AAAs receive money to support health information exchange through VITL, dependent on resources the Core Team has to allocate. Brian suggested adding that more specific proposals will be forthcoming. Heather Skeels suggested adding language recognizing that this request is in response to a change in the landscape. Mary Alice Bisbee made a friendly amendment to include "strongly recommend or prioritize" with this request. Amy Putnam recommended adding that the DLTSS Technology Assessment Report indicates this is an area of priority.	
	Final motion, moved by Dale Hackett, for approval by exception: Dale Hackett moved to strongly recommend that HHAs and AAAs receive money to support health information exchange through VITL, dependent on resources the Core Team has to allocate, recognizing that this request is responsive to a change in the landscape and the results of the DLTSS Technology Assessment Report, and that more specific proposals will be forthcoming to address previously limited investment in this area. Mary Alice Bisbee seconded. The motion carried with Chris Smith abstaining. • Staff will work with VITL to scope a more specific proposal, ideally before the Core Team meeting on 12/9.	
7. Data Utility/Data Governance	Brian Otley proposed tabling this item for our next meeting, and requested participants review materials and send any comments to Sarah Kinsler (sarah.kinsler@vermont.gov); they will be included in the materials for the next meeting.	Send comments to Sarah Kinsler (sarah.kinsler@ve rmont.gov) by 12/1.
8. ACO Presentation	 Leah Fullem noted that representatives of all three ACOs, the Blueprint, and VITL are here to present this proposal today. This proposal is not up for a vote today; this is an opportunity for group members to provide feedback and comments. The proposed solution seeks to create a "single source of truth" for the ACOs about attributed individuals. Solution would allow each ACO to access data about their attributed populations, as well as to look at aggregate information across the three ACOs. This would provide CHAC and Healthfirst with more analytic capabilities than they currently have. Collaboration with the Blueprint: How to work with patients that use ACO providers but aren't attributed? Timeline for implementation is aggressive, but Leah believes this is realistic given that OneCare has already been able to do much of this work. Proposal will go to the Core Team with \$1.8 million budget on 12/9. Most of this would go to technical integration for CHAC and Healthfirst (\$1.4 million); \$75,000 will go to legal work across all three ACOs; \$205,000 to staff time across all three ACOs; and \$150,000 to project management across all three ACOs. Amy Cooper noted that CHAC and Healthfirst haven't been able to build foundational IT infrastructure to 	Share feedback with Georgia Maheras (georgia.maheras @vermont.gov) by 12/1.

Agenda Item	Discussion	Next Steps
	the same extent that OneCare has, and commented that timing is ideal because it builds on historical collaboration and workflow development across ACOs.	
	 The group discussed the following: Kelly Lange noted that BCBS has confidentiality concerns, and would want input into any part that requires changes on BCBS's end. Leah commented that each ACO has a DUA with BCBS. Sue Aranoff asked how necessary this proposal would be if the ACOs don't merge, noting there are significant legal barriers to merger. Leah responded that this architecture separates data from each ACO; if the ACOs don't merge, OCV will act like a vendor to CHAC and HF at a lower cost than a private vendor. Paul Harrington noted that he would like to hear from someone at the Governor's Administration about the Administration's overall health care reform agenda to give the group some context about how this all fits together and advances a larger vision. Paul noted that from his perspective, the All-Payer Waiver seems like the highest priority; if that's correct, this project is consistent with that vision and should be prioritized. If that is not the case, that's important to know as well as this group reviews this and similar proposals. Richard commented that the Steering Committee has criteria to guide decision-making, and that it might be worth revisiting those criteria. Georgia clarified that this proposal is not planning on going to the Steering Committee – it is a proposal that has been requested by the Core Team. Susan Aranoff asked that it be brought to the Steering Committee on 12/2. Georgia and Sarah will work together to fit this onto the agenda. Brian requested that participants share any feedback with Georgia (georgia.maheras@vermont.gov) no later than December 1st; feedback will be shared with the Core Team prior to their 12/9 meeting. Feedback shared before 	
0.0.11	Thanksgiving will also be shared with the Steering Committee.	
9. Public Comment, Next Steps, Wrap-Up, and Future Meeting	Next Meeting: Wednesday, December 16, 2015, 9:00-11:00, 4th Floor Conference Room, Pavilion Building, 109 State Street, Montpelier.	
Schedules		

VHCIP Health Data Infrastructure Work Group
Roll Call 11/18/2015

N	1ember	Membe	er Alternate	1 / MILL	La L'MO	Tale and The	NIK	1. M. W.	9	
First Name	Last Name	First Name	Last Name	Minutes	ACO Gap Remediation	VCN Gap Remediation	No Vote	DLTSS Next Steps	Organization	Health Data Infrastructure
Susan	Aranoff 🗸	Gabe	Epstein						AHS - DAIL	М
Joel	Benware 🗸	Dennis	Boucher						Northwestern Medical Center	M
		Jodi	Frei			3.5			Northwestern Medical Center	MA
		Chris	Giroux						Northwestern Medical Center	MA
01.										
Peggy	Brozicevic								AHS - VDH	М
	1									
Amγ	Cooper V								HealthFirst/Accountable Care Coalition of the	М
_	- /			-						
Steven	Cummings V								Brattleboro Memorial Hopsital	M
h 414	T			-		-			N	
Mike	DelTrecco		-						Vermont Association of Hospital and Health	М
Chris	Dussault	Angela	Smith-Dieng		-				V4A	M
CIIII3	Dussault	Aligeia	Similar-Dieng						V47A	
Leah	Fullem	Greg	Robinson 🗸		\ \ \ \ \ \ \				OneCare Vermont	M
			/							
Michael	Gagnon J	Kristina	Choquete	X	Pr				Vermont Information Technology Leaders	М
				1	Δ.	Entra All III				
Ken	Gingras			X	W	N N			Vermont Care Partners	М
				14						
Eileen	Girling)	AHS - DVHA	М
	/									
Dale	Hackett 🗸								Consumer Representative	М
Emma	Harrigan	Tyler	Blouin	/					AHS - DMH	M
		Kathleen	Hentcy /						AHS - DMH	MA
		Brian	Isham 🗸						AHS - DMH	MA
	1									
Paul	Harrington V								Vermont Medical Society	M
	1 1									
Stefani		Molly	Dugan		-		-		Cathedral Square	M
		Klm	Fitzgerald				-		Cathedral Square and SASH Program	MA
12 11		T.O.L.	Kana /	A					All A II Lock Court Advantage During	
Kaili	Kuiper	Trinka	Kerr V	1.1	-				VLA/Health Care Advocate Project	M
Nancy	Marinelli								AHS - DAIL	M
DIALICA CONTRACTOR	HVIATHEIII		1			1	1	1	IMIIJ - DAIL	IVI

		T	7	Minutes	Aco	VCN	NA	DLTS 5		
//aryKate	Mohlman								ALIC DVILLA Diversità	
narykate	Moniman		1 × 1 × ×						AHS - DVHA - Blueprint	М
Brian	Otley V								Green Mountain Power	C/M
Kate	Pierce								North Country Hospital	М
Amy	Putnam	Todd	Bauman						DA - Northwest Counseling and Support Ser	M
	evi neeseesse	Kim	McClellan	-15-11-10-1	www.item				DA - Northwest Counseling and Support Ser	MA
Amγ	Putnam								VCP - Northwest Counseling and Support Se	М
Sandy	Rousse								Central Vermont Home Health and Hospice	М
Simone	Rueschemeyer								Vermont Care Network	C/M
ulia	Shaw	Lila	Richardson						VLA/Health Care Advocate Project	М
-leather	Skeels	Kate	Simmons						Bi-State Primary Care	М
Richard	Slusky	Kelly	Macnee J						GMCB	M
		Spenser	Weppler √						GMCB	MA
Chris	Smith V	Lou	McLaren					A	MVP Health Care	М
Russ	Stratton								VCP - HowardCenter for Mental Health	М
Elleen	Underwood V			Maria de la composición dela composición de la composición dela composición dela composición dela composición de la composición dela composición de la composición dela composición de					AHS - VDH	M?
????	????	Mike	Hall						Champlain Valley Area Agency on Aging / C(MA
2333	3555	Arsi	Namdar						VNA of Chittenden and Grand Isle Counties	MA 39

Darin Prail / Diane Cummings/ Mny Mnu Bisbee/

AHS-Central Office Consumer

30

 \bigcirc \checkmark

VHCIP Health Data Infrastructure Work Group Attendance Sheet 11/18/2015

First Nam	e Last Name		Organization	Health Data Infrastructure
1 Diane	Cummings	have	AHS - Central Office	S
2 Darin	Prail		AHS - Central Office	X
3 Julie	Wasserman	hone	AHS - Central Office	S
4 Becky-Jo	Cyr		AHS - Central Office - IFS	Х
1 Susan	Aranoff	here	AHS - DAIL	М
2 Gabe	Epstein	SNOW	AHS - DAIL	MA
3 Nancy	Marinelli		AHS - DAIL	M
4 Tela	Torrey		AHS - DAIL	X
5 Beth	Rowley		AHS - DCF	X
6 Tyler	Blouin		AHS - DMH	MA
7 Emma	Harrigan		AHS - DMH	M
8 Kathleen	Hentcy		AHS - DMH	MA
9 Brian	Isham	here	AHS - DMH	MA
10 Lucas	Herring		AHS - DOC	Х
11 Amy	Coonradt		AHS - DVHA	S
12 Jennifer	Egelhof	neve	AHS - DVHA	Х
13 Erin	Flynn	1 mine	AHS - DVHA	S
14 Eileen	Girling		AHS - DVHA	M
15 Sarah	Kinsler	here	AHS - DVHA	S
16 Carole	Magoffin		AHS - DVHA	S
17 Steven	Maier	neve	AHS - DVHA	S
18 Jessica	Mendizabal		AHS - DVHA	S
19 Larry	Sandage	grave.	AHS - DVHA	S
20 James	Westrich	here	AHS - DVHA	S
21 Bradley	Wilhelm		AHS - DVHA	S
22 Cecelia	Wu =		AHS - DVHA	S
23 Craig	Jones		AHS - DVHA - Blueprint	X
24 MaryKate	Mohlman	3NOW	AHS - DVHA - Blueprint	М
25 Miki	Olszewski		AHS - DVHA - Blueprint	X

	Peggy	Brozicevic	1,7,3,7,4,7	AHS - VDH	M
27	Eileen	Underwood	Mens	AHS - VDH	М
28	Georgia	Maheras	neve	AOA	S
29	Bob	West		BCBSVT	Х
30	Charlie	Leadbetter		BerryDunn	Х
31	Heather	Skeels	Neve	Bi-State Primary Care	М
32	Joyce	Gallimore		Bi-State Primary Care/CHAC	Х
33	Kate	Simmons		Bi-State Primary Care/CHAC	MA
34	Kendall	West		Bi-State Primary Care/CHAC	Х
35	Daniel	Galdenzi		Blue Cross Blue Shield of Vermont	Х
36	Kelly	Lange		Blue Cross Blue Shield of Vermont	Х
37	James	Mauro		Blue Cross Blue Shield of Vermont	Х
38	Steven	Cummings	100	Brattleboro Memorial Hopsital	М
39	Stefani	Hartsfield	Neve	Cathedral Square	M
40	Molly	Dugan		Cathedral Square and SASH Program	MA
41	Kim	Fitzgerald		Cathedral Square and SASH Program	MA
42	Paul	Forlenza		Centerboard Consultingt, LLC	Х
43	Sandy	Rousse		Central Vermont Home Health and Hospice	М
44	Mike	Hall		Champlain Valley Area Agency on Aging / C	MA
45	Kevin	Kelley		CHSLV	Х
46	Jonathan	Bowley		Community Health Center of Burlington	Х
47	Dale	Hackett	Mul	Consumer Representative	М
48	Bob	Thorn		DA - Counseling Services of Addison County	Х
49	Todd	Bauman		DA - Northwest Counseling and Support Se	MA
50	Kim	McClellan		DA - Northwest Counseling and Support Se	MA
51	Amy	Putnam		DA - Northwest Counseling and Support Se	М
52	Nick	Emlen		DA - Vermont Council of Developmental an	X
53	Richard	Boes		DII	Х
54	Lucie	Garand		Downs Rachlin Martin PLLC	_ X
55	Ena	Backus		GMCB	Х
56	Susan	Barrett	pere	GMCB	Х
57	Jamie	Fisher	,	GMCB	Х
58	Christine	Geiler		GMCB	S
59	Al	Gobeille		GMCB	- X
60	Pat	Jones	0.00	GMCB	S
61	Kelly	Macnee	MWNE	GMCB	MA
62	Stacey	Murdock	1	GMCB	Х
63	Annie	Paumgarten		GMCB	S

64	David	Regan		GMCB	Х
65	Richard	Slusky	Nel	GMCB	М
66	Spenser	Weppler	how	GMCB	MA
67	Brian	Otley	Neire	Green Mountain Power	C/M
68	Amy	Cooper	here	HealthFirst/Accountable Care Coalition of t	М
69	Paul	Reiss		HealthFirst/Accountable Care Coalition of t	Х
70	Jon	Brown	Mene	HSE Program	Х
71	Richard	Terricciano	here	HSE Program	Х
72	Jay	Hughes		Medicity	Х
73	Lou	McLaren		MVP Health Care	MA
74	Chris	Smith	Mine	MVP Health Care	М
75	David	Wennberg	, ·	New England Accountable Care Collaborati	Х
76	Kate	Pierce		North Country Hospital	M?
77	Matt	Tryhorne		Northern Tier Center for Health	Х
78	Joel	Benware	More	Northwestern Medical Center	М
79	Dennis	Boucher		Northwestern Medical Center	MA
80	Jodi	Frei		Northwestern Medical Center	MA
81	Chris	Giroux		Northwestern Medical Center	MA
82	Leah	Fullem	here	OneCare Vermont	M =
83	Todd	Moore		OneCare Vermont	Х
84	Laurie	Riley-Hayes		OneCare Vermont	Α
85	Greg	Robinson	Inne	OneCare Vermont	MA
86	Tawnya	Safer		OneCare Vermont	Х
87	Karl	Finison		OnPoint	Х
88	Beth	Waldman	7 (2)	SOV Consultant - Bailit-Health Purchasing	Х
89	Joelle	Judge	huve	UMASS	S
90	Richard	Wasserman, MD, MPH		University of Vermont - College of Medicine	X
91	Chris	Dussault	phine	V4A	М
92	Angela	Smith-Dieng		V4A	MA
	Russ	Stratton		VCP - HowardCenter for Mental Health	М
94	Amy	Putnam		VCP - Northwest Counseling and Support St	М
95	Julie	Tessler		VCP - Vermont Council of Developmental a	Х
96	Martha	Buck		Vermont Association of Hospital and Health	Α
97	Mike	DelTrecco		Vermont Association of Hospital and Health	М
98	Gary	Zigmann		Vermont Association of Hospital and Health	Х
99	Simone	Rueschemeyer	more	Vermont Care Network	C/M
100	Ken	Gingras	here	Vermont Care Partners	M
101	Shelia	Burnham	1	Vermont Health Care Association	Х

3.

102 K	Kristina	Choquete	hole	Vermont Information Technology Leaders	MA
103 N	Michael	Gagnon	There	Vermont Information Technology Leaders	М
104 P	Paul	Harrington	nere	Vermont Medical Society	М
105 T	Trinka	Kerr	phine	VLA/Health Care Advocate Project	MA
106 K	Kaili	Kuiper		VLA/Health Care Advocate Project	M
107 L	Lila	Richardson		VLA/Health Care Advocate Project	MA
108 J	Iulia	Shaw	here	VLA/Health Care Advocate Project	М
109 A	Arsi	Namdar		VNA of Chittenden and Grand Isle Counties	MA
110 P	Peter	Cobb		VNAs of Vermont	Х
111 S	Stuart	Graves		WCMHS	Х
112 J	loanne	Arey		White River Family Practice	Α
113 N	Mark	Nunlist		White River Family Practice	Х
114 S	Sean	Uiterwyk-		White River Family Practice	Х
115 N	Narath	Carlile			Х
116 N	Mike	Maslack			Х
117 V	Win	Turner			Х
					121

Laura Kölkman Bob Brown Mosaica Partners Mosaica Partners